

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,630	08/25/2003	Ernst B. Carter	EXIT-00101	5318
28960 HAVERSTOC	7590 02/18/2010 K & OWENS LLP	EXAMINER		
162 N WOLFI	E ROAD	POWERS, WILLIAM S		
SUNNYVALI	E, CA 94086		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2434	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/648,630	CARTER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
WILLIAM S. POWERS	2434		

	WILLIAM S. POWERS	2434					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 09 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appl	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this ication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the ication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time dds:						
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(20(a) and the annualist					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension after have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensions for les under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latter than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	s of the date of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filled within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filled within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•					
	7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
Claim(s) allowed: 26-45,47-52,59,60,63-71 and 73-75.							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-25.61.62 and 72. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons with it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. \(\times The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
/Kambiz Zand/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434	/W. S. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 2434						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As to Applicant's argument that, "a MAC class does not uniquely identify a system as does, for example, a Medium Access Controller (also called a 'MAC') identifier, as disclosed in the Present Specification" (Remarks, p. 13), the Examiner respectfully disagrees. The Examiner is using the broadest, reasonable interpretation of the claim language and sees the MAC class of Yu as equivalent to "a unique system-identifier". Support for this can be found section 5.1 of Yu where, "Initial encryption keys for each MAC class are generated during system installation." Therefore, the MAC class is unique to the installed system. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the MAC class of Yu is not the same as the Medium Access Controller identifier of the Present Specification) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). For at least the reasons above, the rejection to the limitations is maintained. 2.

The Information Disclosure Statement of 1/18/2010 has been considered by the Examiner.